

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02185 101215 Z

50

ACTION IO-13

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 L-03 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10

RSC-01 SY-10 EB-11 ACDA-19 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-09 H-02

INR-10 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-12

RSR-01 IOE-00 GAC-01 MBFR-03 /192 W  
----- 024498

P R 101145 Z MAY 73

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 9236

INFO USMISSION USUN NY

C O N F I D E N T I A L GENEVA 2185

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, UN

SUBJ: DEFINITION OF AGGRESSION COMMITTEE

1. AT GROUP OF 6 MEETING AM MAY 10, AUSTRALIANS AND CANADIANS, AND TO SOME EXTENT ITALIANS, CONTINUED MAINTAIN PRESSURE FOR NEW PROPOSALS FROM GROUP OF 6 TO TRY TO BREAK LOGJAM ON MAJOR ISSUES BEFORE COMMITTEE. AUSTRALIA AND CANADA PRESENTED GROUP WITH DRAFT OF NEW SIMPLIFIED TEXT FOR REFERENCE TO INDIRECT USES OF FORCE IN LIST OF ACTS OF AGGRESSION.

2. TEXT WAS AS FOLLOWS: QUOTE THE SENDING, ASSISTING OR ENCOURAGING BY A STATE OF ARMED BANDS ( OR OTHER GROUPS) WHICH USE ARMED FORCE ( IN THE TERRITORY OF ANOTHER STATE) ( AGAINST ANOTHER STATE). UNQUOTE

3. OUR INITIAL REACTION AND THAT OF UK WAS THAT THIS TEXT OVERSIMPLIFIED PROBLEM AND, BY EXCLUDING CONCEPT OF ACQUIESCENCE, WAS PROBABLY TOO NARROW TO BE ACCEPTABLE.

4. ON PRIORITY AND INTENT, CANADA AND AUSTRALIA URGED THAT WE MOVE TO SIMPLIFIED REFERENCE TO INTENT WHICH WOULD  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02185 101215 Z

DELETE LIST OF PROHIBITED INTENTS CONTAINED IN ALTERNATIVE 2 ( PAGE 16-17 OF WG REPORT). THEY SUGGEST THAT WE CONSIDER SOMETHING LIKE APPROACH PROPOSED BY GUYANAN REP IN CONTACT GROUP MEETING MAY 9, IE, DUE REGARD SHOULD BE GIVEN TO ( APPROPRIATE FORMULATION OF PRIORITY PRINCIPLE) AND " PURPOSES FOR WHICH ACT WAS COMMITTED." WE TOOK EXTREMELY FIRM LINE THAT WE NOT PREPARED DISCUSS DELETION OF LIST OF PROHIBITED INTENTIONS IN ABSENCE ACCEPTABLE SOLUTION OF PRIORITY QUESTION AND CLEAR FORMULATION ON LEGAL USES OF FORCE. AUSTRALIAN REP SUGGESTED IN TENTATIVE WAY THAT MEMBERS OF GROUP WHO FELT LESS STRONGLY ABOUT THIS THAN OTHERS MIGHT, ON PERSONAL BASIS, FLOAT COMPROMISE PROPOSAL WITH APPROPRIATE NON- ALIGNED DELS WITHOUT COMMITTING REST OF GROUP. WE REPLIED THIS WAS OF COURSE QUESTION FOR EACH DELEGATION TO DECIDE FOR ITSELF, BUT WE QUESTIONED WHETHER ANY MEMBER OF GROUP OF 6 WISHED BE PUT IN POSITION OF PROPOSING, EVEN ON INFORMAL BASIS, SOLUTIONS WHICH TURNED OUT NOT TO BE ACCEPTABLE TO OTHERS IN GROUP.

5. COMMENT: AS DEPARTMENT WILL PERCEIVE, THERE IS CONSIDERABLE PRESSURE FROM OUR ALLIES TO TAKE ACTIVE ROLE IN SEARCH FOR SOLUTION TO PROBLEMS REMAINING BEFORE COMMITTEE. ONLY US AND UK REALLY TAKING VIEW THAT GROUP SHOULD REFRAIN FROM FURTHER PROPOSALS IN ABSENCE MOVEMENT ON OTHER SIDE. IN LIGHT OF DISORGANIZATION OF SO- CALLED " NON- ALIGNED" GROUP, IT DOUBTFUL THAT THEY WILL BE ABLE TO COALESCE AROUND ANY NEW INITIATIVE OF THEIR OWN. DELEGATION THEREFORE BELIEVES IT IMPORTANT THAT WE BE IN POSITION ADVANCE NEW FORMULATIONS OF TYPES WE HAVE SUGGESTED PAST FEW DAYS, IF FOR NO OTHER REASON THAN TO FOCUS DISCUSSION ON SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT FORMULATIONS AND THUS MAKE CHANGES OF POSITION EASIER. BASSIN

CONFIDENTIAL  
NMAFVVZCZ

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** PFOR  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 10 MAY 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** thigpegh  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973GENEVA02185  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** GENEVA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730540/aaaailve.tel  
**Line Count:** 95  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION IO  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** thigpegh  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 28 SEP 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <28-Sep-2001 by rowellE0>; APPROVED <16-Nov-2001 by thigpegh>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> mcm 980108  
**Subject:** DEFINITION OF AGGRESSION COMMITTEE  
**TAGS:** PFOR, UN  
**To:** IO  
SECSTATE WASHDC  
USUN NEW YORK  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005